

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/662,164 | Applicant(s) CHENG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Maikhanh Nguyen | Art Unit 2176 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: now allowed

 (1) Maikhanh Nguyen (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard A. Hinson (Applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendments.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)